

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/016,067	PHILIPPE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Cirim	3753	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) Ljiljana (Lil) V. Cirim. (3) _____.

(2) Elwood Haynes, Registration No. 55,254. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2004

Time: 2:30 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

15, 17 through 24, and 27 through 29

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Cirić telephoned Attorney Haynes to propose an examiner's amendment (copy attached) taking the allowable subject matter and cancelling previously rejected claims 15, 17 through 24, and 27 through 29 because the replies filed on 27 January 2004 and on March 31, 2004 do not obviate the prior art rejections of these claims as recited in the previous Office action..

